



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/667,476  | 09/23/2003    | Liem Manh Nguyen     | 200206260-1         | 9056             |
| 22879   | 7590 01/25/26 | 06                   | EXAM                | IINER            |
|   | PACKARD COM   | SORRELL              | SORRELL, ERON J     |                  |
| P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400 |               |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |               |                      | 2182                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/667,476   | NGUYEN ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Eron J. Sorrell  | 2182  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | l.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 No  | ovember 2005.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| · _ ·   | action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| · <u>-</u>  | .—   |   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-18 and 20-33</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-18 and 20-33</u> is/are rejected.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner   | ,<br>,   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  | ·  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary (   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 6) Other:  | Active Application (FTO-102)  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2182

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Independent claims 1 and 15 are directed toward computer readable media. In the specification at paragraph 41, the applicant has defined a computer readable medium to include "externally supplied propagated signal(s) either with or without carrier waves. (see paragraph 41)" Such signals do not fall within any of the for categories outlines in 35 USC 101. See Annex IV Section (c) of the Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2182

art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1,2,4-18, and 20-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng-Hung et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,397,232 hereinafter "Cheng-Hung") in view of Fuhrmann (US Pub. No. 2003/0126559).
- 5. Referring to computer readable medium claim 1, and apparatus claim 30, Fuhrmann teaches localization code arrangement on a computer-readable medium or media for use in a localization system for processing localization information (see paragraph 50 on page 3), the code arrangement comprising:
- a transformation module receiving at least one non-localized information unit (see paragraph 54 on page 5),

said transformation module converting the at least one non-localized information unit into an intermediate format (see paragraph 54 on page 5); and

the transformation module then using the intermediate format of the non-localized information to generate at least one localized information unit (see paragraph 54 on page 5).

Fuhrmann fails to teach the use of a resource in converting the non-localized information unit and generating the localized unit.

Art Unit: 2182

Cheng-Hung teaches in an analogous system, the above limitation (see lines 30-46 of column 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system and method of Fuhrmann with the above teachings of Cheng-Hung. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such modification because Cheng-Hung discloses that a rule file with respect to the target format is required when converting data of a first format to a second format (see lines 51-61 of column 1).

6. Referring to claim 2, Fuhrmann the transformation module storing the intermediate format of the at least one non-localized information unit in a data-store (see paragraph 63 on page 6), however is silent on the use of a resource file.

Cheng-Hung teaches, in an analogous system and method storing an intermediate file using the at least one resource file (see lines 30-46 of column 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system and method of Fuhrmann with the above teachings of Cheng-Hung for the same reasons as mention in the rejection of claims

Art Unit: 2182

1 and 30.

7. Referring to claim 4, Fuhrmann teaches a first module for sending the non-localized information unit to said transformation module, and a second module for obtaining the localized information unit from said transformation module (see paragraph 54 on page 5).

- 8. Referring to claim 5, Fuhrmann teaches the intermediate format of the non-localized information unit is an XML (eXtensible Markup Language) format (see paragraph 52 on page 4).
- 9. Referring to claim 6, Fuhrmann teaches the intermediate format of the non-localized information unit is an XML string and the data-store is a database (see paragraph 63 on page 6).
- 10. Referring to claim 7, Fuhrmann teaches the resource file is a property file compatible with the environment of an object-oriented interpreted, architecture-neutral, general purpose programming language (see paragraph 52 on page 4).

Art Unit: 2182

11. Referring to claim 8, Fuhrmann teaches the non-localized information unit includes a plurality of localizable parameters (see paragraph 50 on page 4, wherein Fuhrmann discloses text elements and context elements).

- 12. Referring to claim 9, Fuhrmann teaches the intermediate format is an XML format (see paragraph 52 on page 4), said transformation module transforming the localizable parameters into the XML format (see paragraph 52 on page 4), said transforming module storing the plurality of localizable parameters in the XML format in a data-store (see paragraph 63 on page 6).
- 13. Referring to claim 10, Fuhrmann teaches a plurality of localization instructions are associated with the plurality of localizable parameters (see paragraph 52 on page 4), said transformation module transforming the plurality of localization instructions into the XML format (see paragraph 52 on page 4) and storing the plurality of localization instructions in the data-store (see paragraph 63 on page 6).
- 14. Referring to claim 11, Fuhrmann teaches the plurality of localizable parameters are at least one of a string type, an

Art Unit: 2182

integer type, a floating point value type, a message type, a large integer type, a large decimal type and a date type (see paragraph 50 on page 4, Fuhrmann discloses at least a string type).

- 15. Referring to claim 12, Fuhrmann teaches, wherein said transformation module is implemented as a class compatible with object-oriented interpreted, architecture-neutral, general purpose programming language (see paragraph 52 on page 4).
- 16. Referring to claims 13 and 31, Fuhrmann teaches wherein the localization information is language information (see paragraph 50 on page 4).
- 17. Referring to claims 14 and 32, Fuhrmann teaches the localization information is data format conversion information (see paragraph 50 on page 4).
- 18. Referring to computer readable medium claim 15, method claim 25, and apparatus claim Fuhrmann teaches method, system, apparatus, and a localization code arrangement on a computer-readable medium or media for use in a system for processing

Art Unit: 2182

localization information (see paragraph 50 on page 3), the code arrangement comprising:

a first module for collecting a plurality of localizable parameters in a first language, said first module further collecting at least one translation instruction for the localizable parameters (see paragraph 54 on page 5); and

a transformation module for receiving the plurality of localizable parameters in the first language and the at least one translation instruction from said first module, said transformation module processing the plurality of localizable parameters and the at least one translation instruction into an XML string (see paragraph 54 on page 5), said transformation module storing the XML string in a data-store (see paragraph 63 on page 6).

Fuhrmann fails to teach using a resource file to perform the conversion, the resource file including at least one text string in a second language.

Cheng-Hung teaches in an analogous system, the above limitation (see lines 30-46 of column 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system and method of Fuhrmann with the above teachings of Cheng-Hung. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been

Art Unit: 2182

motivated to make such modification because Cheng-Hung discloses that a rule file with respect to the target format is required when converting data of a first format to a second format (see lines 51-61 of column 1).

Page 9

- 19. Referring to claim 16, Fuhrmann teaches a second module for assembling a plurality of localized parameters in said second language (see paragraph 54 on page 5), said second module activating said transformation module to generate said plurality of localized parameters (see paragraph 52 on page 3), said transformation module retrieving said stored XML string from said data-store, said transformation module converting said XML string to the plurality of localized parameters in said second language using said resource file and the at least one translation instruction stored in said XML string (see paragraph 53 on page 4), said transformation module sending said plurality of localized parameters to said second module (see paragraph 54 on page 4).
- 20. Referring to claim 17, Fuhrmann fails to teach a resource file is configured to handle said second language.

Cheng-Hung teaches in an analogous system, the above limitation (see lines 30-46 of column 3).

Art Unit: 2182

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system and method of Fuhrmann with the above teachings of Cheng-Hung. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such modification because Cheng-Hung discloses that a rule file with respect to the target format is required when converting data of a first format to a second format (see lines 51-61 of column 1).

21. Referring to claim 18, Fuhrmann teaches a method, system, apparatus, and computer readable medium, for processing localization information (see paragraph 50 on page 3), the method comprising:

receiving at least one non-localized information unit; converting said non-localized information unit into an intermediate format using at least one resource file (see paragraph 54 on page 5);

converting the intermediate format into at least one localized information unit (see paragraph 54 on page 5).

and storing said intermediate format in a data-store (see paragraph 63 on page 6).

22. Referring to claim 20, Fuhrmann teaches the intermediate format is an XML format (see paragraph 52 on page 4).

Page 11

- 23. Referring to claim 21, Fuhrmann teaches the data-store is a database (see paragraph 63 on page 6).
- 24. Referring to claim 22, Fuhrmann teaches the non-localized information unit further including a plurality of localizable parameters (see paragraph 50 on page 4, wherein Fuhrmann discloses text elements and context elements).
- 25. Referring to claim 23, Cheng-Hung teaches the converting step comprises converting the localizable parameters into an intermediate format using a resource file (see lines 30-46 of column 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system and method of Fuhrmann with the above teachings of Cheng-Hung. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such modification because Cheng-Hung discloses that a rule file with respect to the target format is required when converting data of a first format to a second format (see lines 51-61 of column 1).

Art Unit: 2182

26. Referring to claim 24, Fuhrmann teaches the localizable parameters correspond to a first language and said localized unit and said resource file correspond to a second language (see paragraph 54 on page 5).

- 27. Referring to claim 26, Fuhrmann teaches An apparatus operable to perform the method of claim 18 (see rejection of claim 18).
- 28. Referring to claim 27, Fuhrmann teaches a computer-readable medium having code portions embodied thereon that, when read by a processor, cause said processor to perform the method of claim 18 (see rejection of claim 18).
- 29. Referring to claim 28, Fuhrmann teaches an apparatus operable to perform the method of claim 25 (see rejection of claim 25).
- 30. Referring to claim 29, Fuhrmann teaches a computer-readable medium having code portions embodied thereon that, when read by a processor, cause said processor to perform the method of claim 25 (see rejection of claim 25).

Art Unit: 2182

## Response to Arguments

31. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

32. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2182

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eron J. Sorrell whose telephone number is 571 272-4160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on 571-272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EJS January 21, 2006

> KIM HUYNH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

> > 1/23/04